IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

MONDAY, THE 18TH JUNE 2007 / 28TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 18498 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

DR.C.REGHUNATHAN NAIR,
S/O.CHELLAPPAN PILLAI, AGED 47 YEARS, LECTURER,
SALYATHANTRA DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT AYURVEDA
COLLEGE, PARIYARAM, KANNUR.

BY ADV. SRI.N.UNNIKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE GOVERMENT OF KERALA, REPRESENTED
BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT,
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (D) DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DR.G.CHANDRAKUMAR, LECTURER,
SALYATHANTRA DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT AYURVEDA
COLLEGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. A.J. VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C). NO. 18498 OF 2007

Dated this the 18th day of June, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner, who is stated to be working as Lecturer in Salyathantra Department in Government Ayurveda College, Periyaram in Kannur District, had made a request for transfer to Trivandrum. However, the said request was not apparently considered. The grievance of petitioner is that two other teachers, including respondent No.2, have been allowed to continue in Trivandrum for the last more than five years, while Sl. Nos. 2 and 4 in Ext.P1 have been given transfer to stations of their choice. It is the case of the petitioner that Sl.Nos. 2 and 4 have not even completed two and a half years in their respective stations. Anyhow, I do not propose to deal with the above contentions in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar. He submits that the petitioner will be satisfied if an appropriate direction is issued to respondent No.1 to take a decision on Ext.P3 in which he has highlighted all his grievances. Learned Government Pleader

submits Ext.P3 will be considered and an appropriate decision thereon will be taken without delay, if the same has been preferred by the petitioner.

In the above facts and circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P3, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention that the petitioner, respondent No.2 and the other two candidates (Serial Nos. 2 & 4 in Ext.P1) apart from all others who are likely to be affected by any order that may be passed in the matter, are afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken. Petitioner shall produce a certified copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before respondent No.1 for compliance.

A.K. BASHEER, JUDGE

vps

A.K. BASHEER, JUDGE

OP NO.20954/00

JUDGMENT

1ST MARCH, 2007